

Attorney Docket No.: 27057U

Date: July 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Art Unit: 1765

GÖBBERT

Examiner: Unknown

Application No.: 10/553,910

Filed:

24 January 2006

Title:

METHOD FOR PRODUCING A COMPOSITE MATERIAL THAT CAN BE

USED AS A PHOTONIC CRYSTAL

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- Submission of Documents to Supplement Filing Documents under 1) 35 USC 371;
- English Translation of PCT/IB/373 (International Preliminary 2) Report on Patentability).

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any excess to Deposit Account Number 14-0112.

> Respectfully submitted, NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

By:

Reg No. 126, 965

Gregory B. Kang Req. No. 45,273

Customer No. 20529

GBK/le



Attorney Docket No.: 27057U

Date: July 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Art Unit: 1765

GÖBBERT

Examiner: Unknown

Application No.: 10/553,910

Filed:

24 January 2006

Title:

METHOD FOR PRODUCING A COMPOSITE MATERIAL THAT CAN BE

USED AS A PHOTONIC CRYSTAL

SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS TO SUPPLEMENT FILING DOCUMENTS UNDER 35 USC 371

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In order to supplement the filing documents for the national phase filing Under USC 371 commenced on 21 OCTOBER 2005, applicant now submits the following documents:

English Translation of PCT/IB/373 (International Preliminary 1) Report on Patentability).

Please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account Number 14-0112.

> Respectfully submitted, NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Reg. No. 26,965 Gregory B. Kang

Reg. No. 45,273

Customer No. 20529

GBK/le

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P 43 908 WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 April 2004 (23.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant ITN NANOVATION GMBH					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).					
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.					
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report				
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention				
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application				
4.	The International Bureau will co not, except where the applicant r date (Rule 44bis .2).	mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority				
		Date of issuance of this report				

	Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80
E DCYP/ID /272 / I 2004)	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II	From the		NAL SEARCHIN	IG AUTHOR	ITY		
Applicant's or agent's file reference P 43 908 WO International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International platent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant IT his opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Poss No. VII Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION 1 This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has noted from IPEA or international Bureau noted Rule 6.1 biz(b) than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has noted from IPEA in the international Bureau noted Rule 6.1 biz(b) than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has noted from IPEA in the international Bureau noted Rule 6.1 biz(b) than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has noted from IPEA in the international Bureau noted Rule 6.1 biz(b) than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has noted for some international Bureau noted Rule 6.0 biz(b) than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has noted to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220.			THE SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVI				PCT USIANIO.
Applicant's or agent's file reference P 43 908 WO International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International filing date (day/month/year) 23.04.2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 23.04.2003 International Patient Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant ITN NANOVATION GMBH 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. II Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain decounts cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Fereiminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses and Authority on that his one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has norified the International Breathing Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to a written opinion of the IPEA, with the priority depether, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							ITTEN OPINION OF THE
Applicant's or agent's file reference PA 3 908 WO International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 23.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant ITN NANOVATION GMBH 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. 1I Priority Box No. 1I Priority Box No. 1I Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. 1V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examination (PEA) as notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Preliminary Examination (PEA) with a spoiling the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Preliminary examination is made, this opinion of the IPEA, the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/I							(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International filing date (day/month/year) 23.04.2004 Priority date (day/month/year) 23.04.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant ITN NANOVATION GMBH I Priority Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. 1I Priority Box No. 1I Priority Box No. 1I Priority Box No. 1I Priority Box No. 1V Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43his.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1his(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220.							
P 43 908 WO See paragraph 2 below	Applica	nt's or a	gent's file reference	ce		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004295 International filing date (day/month/year) 23.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant ITN NANOVATION GMBH 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion Box No. 1I Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66. Ibix(b) that written opinions his Internation is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply) together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	P 4	3 9	08 WO .				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC	Internati	ional ap	plication No.		International filing date	 day/month/year)	
I. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion	1		•	295		,,,	• • •
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion	Internati	ional Pa	tent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion							
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion	Applica	nt					
Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bix(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	ITN	NAI	NOVATION	GMBH			
Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bix(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	•						
Box No. II Priority Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bix(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							
Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Certain preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	1.	I has c	pinion contains in	dications rela	ting to the following items	:	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		\boxtimes	Box No. II	Priority			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. IV				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of that this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability	atement under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i>	I(a)(i) with regard to no supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial ement
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of the than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	:	님	Box No. VI	Certain docu	ments cited		
 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of it International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 			Box No. VII	Certain defe	cts in the international app	olication	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of that this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		M	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	rvations on the internation	nal application	
written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of For PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	<u>2</u> .	If a d International	lemand for international Preliminar; his one to be the I	y Examining A PEA and the	Authority ("IPEA") excep chosen IPEA has notified	t that this does not app the International Bure	ly where the applicant chooses an Authority other
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IP written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of					of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form	
		For fu	rther options, see l	Form PCT/IS/	A/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer	3.	For fu	rther details, see n	otes to Form I	PCT/ISA/220.		
Authorized officer	Name an	d maili	ne address of the I	SA/EP		Authorized officer	
	. value di	is umill	e address 01 (116-1	SALL.		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No. Telephone No.	Facsimil	e No.				Telephone No	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004295

With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international	in which it was
, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international	
	search (under
Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	to the claimed
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in the international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	s been filed or application as
4. Additional comments:	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004295

Bo	x No. 1	I Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The following document has not yet been furnished:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Add	itional observations, if necessary:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004295

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement		lity;		
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4-18, 20, 28, 29	YES
		Claims	1-3, 19, 21-27, 30, 31	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4-18, 20, 28, 29	YES
		Claims	1-3, 19, 21-27, 30, 31	NO
	Industrial applicabili	ty (IA) Claims	1-31	YES
		Claims		NO

- Citations and explanations:
 - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: M. Lanata et al., Optical Materials 17,11 (2001)
 - D2: H. Miguez et al., Advanced Materials 13, 1634 (2001)
 - D3: US 2002/074537 A1
 - D4 D. Wang et al., Advanced Materials 14, 908 (2002)
 - D5: Y. Hongwei et al., Advanced Materials 11, 1003 (1999)
 - 2. The present application does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(1), because the subject matter of claims 1-3, 19, 21-27, 30 and 31 is not novel within the meaning of PCT Article 33(2) for the following reasons:
 - 2.1 Document D1 discloses, in the abstract, a photonic crystal, which is an inverse opal of titanium dioxide with a refractive index of over 2.5, which has been produced with the aid of a template of polystyrene beads. The photonic crystal therefore comprises two materials, namely a three-dimensional periodic sequence of air

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004295

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

bubbles which are enclosed by a sheath of titanium dioxide.

The subject matter of claims 1-3, 19, 22-25, 30 and 31 is not therefore novel with respect to D1.

2.2 Document D2 discloses inverse opals formed by silicon with a refractive index of 11.9 and from germanium with a refractive index of 16, which are suitable for the production of photonic crystals based on the composite materials with liquid crystals (cf. page 1634, left-hand column, line 26 to right-hand column, line 5).

The subject matter of claims 1-3, 19, 22-25, 30 and 31 is therefore not novel with respect to D2.

2.3 Document D3 discloses photonic crystals made from inverse opals made from silicon with a refractive index of 3.45 which are infiltrated with liquid crystals (refractive index $n_a=1.6$ and $n_b=1.4$) (cf. claims 38, 40, 42 and 55 as well as paragraphs [0018], [0038] and [0039]).

The subject matter of claims 1-3, 19, 22-25, 30 and 31 is therefore not novel with respect to D3.

2.4 Document D4 discloses inverse opals for photonic crystals which are made from nanoparticles with a core of gold and a shell of silicon dioxide (cf. page 908, right-hand column, page 912, left-hand column, Fig. 1 and diagram 1).

The subject matter of claims 1-3, 19, 21-25, 27, 30 and 31 is not therefore novel with respect to D4.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004295

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2.5 Document D5 discloses photonic crystals (cf. page 1004, left-hand column, lines 21-25), which are produced by infiltrating a template of polystyrene beads with a diameter of 420 nm with a nickel oxide precursor. After removal of the polystyrene beads and partial reduction, inverse opals which contain nickel oxide and the nickel are obtained (cf. page 1006, left-hand column, and the figures). The subject matter of claims 1-3, 19, 21-27, 30 and 31 is not therefore novel with respect to D5.
- 2.6 The subject matter of claim 4 is novel with respect to the prior art, since none of the documents cited involves infiltration of a secondary template with subsequent removal of the secondary template.
- 2.7 The subject matter of claims 5-18 is likewise novel, since these claims are dependent on claim 4.
- 2.8 The subject matter of the dependent claims 20, 28 and 29 is also not disclosed in the known prior art.
- 3. The subject matter of the dependent claims 4-18, 20, 28 and 29 involves an inventive step within the meaning of PCT Article 33(3), since the configurations of the invention disclosed therein are in no way rendered obvious by the known prior art.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004295

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

With regard to PCT Article 6, it should be noted that there does not appear to be any difference between the subject matter of claims 22, 23 and 24.